# Muds Executive meeting: 29/01/18

*Executive Members Present: Liam, Anneliese, Despina, Annya, Amelia, Georgia and Beth*

*Executive Members Absent: Mounisha*

**Red: Motion**

**Blue: Action Item**

Meeting Opens: 6:33pm

GC: **Motion to pass the constitutional requirement for policies to be given out 2 days prior to the executive meeting**

Seconded: AT

Passes Unanimously

**Agenda Item 1: Costing Policy**

BB: we don’t want people spending money badly, tell me how much u want to spend 2 weeks before, must be approved in writing

GC: Facebook message is fine, we have issues before when it comes to authorisations which is why we have included this policy

BB: if you need emergency spending, you have 50 to spend which you will be reimbursed for

GC: another thing to note make sure you send a receipt (keep your receipts) ; reimbursement wont be provided if u cant show u did it; also, if the purchase was unreasonable and big 3 approves, the big 3 member has to pay instead

AC: reimbursement claims; we should add a part that says signatories to the muds account will process the reimbursement within a week of the purchase (amendment to 3.2)

AC: the budget needs to be a weeks notice, not two weeks

GC: I agree

AC: who decides what is reasonable? Should be majority of exec vote (greater than 50%)

GC: I agree

BB: **I move a motion to pass this policy**

Seconded: Georgia

Passes unanimously

**Agenda Item 2: Trial Policy**

GC: we have to appoint a technical officer who will run the cameras; people can see their speech videos if they want to

LH: is it individual videos?

GC: yes

AC: is there 2 recording devices per room?

GC: yes. Also, pros don’t have a guaranteed spot. I rewrote the mentor questionnaire, but it is bad. I don’t know what people want to test.

AC: I like the mentor questionnaire

GC: the power of the internal selector was too vague, we changed this

AC: are the pros trialled by the external selector? Now that you can kick pros off and replace them with novices, it should be the same selectors

GC: the internal selector can compare them together if they need to choose between the novice and the pro

AC: then why do we have an external selector?

GC: gives an unbiased perspective

AC: but then we can just get an internal selector who can be bias?

GC: I agree

AC: can they override the external selector? How much power do they have?

GC: yes. it obviously favours people who were here the year before.

AC: to what extent should they override? Should we have an external selector?

GC: its good to have something to go off

AC: yeah, alright, lets just leave it vague

AC: you just cant model out the bias, we just have to cop the harms, we should be able to trust them

GC: lets make it that they must be approved the executive.

GC: what happens when we have a veto in terms of team selection?

AT: I don’t think is unfair to make them move down

BB: why should the individual deal with the consequences of someone else’s behaviours?

GC: yeah lets just move them down

BB: what about preferences?

GC: the problem is that we don’t know who the majority of the triallists are, so the preferences will disadvantage first years

DB: what about naming the teams by ranks?

BB: I think that we should be honest

AT: I think it will discourage people from joining

AC: I think we should keep 1 and 2 ranked, I think those will be our competitive teams. Lets add that and revise each year.

LH: lets include a questions about dealing with conflict in terms of topic selection

GC: yeah, alright

AC: add a question about dealing with pressure and nervous novices

Annelise: add exec approval to external selectors. And lets add the 48 hours clause as well.

GC: **I move motion to pass this policy**

Seconded: LH

Passes unanimously

**Agenda Item 3: Adjudicator Policy**

AC: order of who gives OA will be randomised before the trial. also, people cant write anything else down once they have left the trial. They must leave all of their notes in the room.

GC: **I move a motion to pass this policy**

Seconded: AT

Passes unanimously

**Agenda Item 4: Refund Policy**

AC: what about payment extensions

GC: yeah, ill add that

AC: add the reply to commit to pay to the email. Makes them liable.

GC: okie dokie

AC: what if someone doesn’t show up?

GC: they have to pay

GC: **I move a motion to pass this policy**

Seconded: Amelia

In favour: Anneliese, Despina, Annya, Amelia, Georgia and Beth

Abstentions: Liam

GC: **move a motion to make the amendment that the adj payment deadline due 5 days before start of tournament**

Seconded: Annya

Vote passes unanimously

**Agenda Item 5: MUDS Camp**

BB: isn’t happening

**Agenda Item 6: MUDS Card**

GC: lets get a prepaid visa card and just keep 10 bucks on there. Makes spending money a lot easier.

AC: it is also good so people don’t have to contribute their own money

BB: I am A N G R E Y

**Action Item: Get a card (Beth or Georgia)**

**Agenda Item 7: MUDS branding**

Beth: I have made a new brand colour. Don’t make any other blues, I will be sad.

**Action item: make a banner for facebook events (Beth)**

**Agenda Item 8: Google account**

AT: I have made a collective executive email. Please use the email and the allocated google drive. Only externals and equity will have separate emails and drives. It will cost 60 a year.

GC: this is a really great idea

AC: thank you for your service

BB: my idol

**Agenda Item 9: Easters subsidies**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Type of Participant | Participant Cost | Subsidy Amount | Participant Cost |
| Option 1 | First Years | 380 | 100 | 280 |
| Non-First Years | 380 | 60 | 320 |
| Option 2 | First Years | 380 | 90 | 290 |
| Non-First Years | 380 | 30 | 350 |

BC: we cant give huge subsidies for easters, its expensive and we have no money. Which one do we prefer?

AC: I am in favour of the second one

AT: I agree. Lets keep the first years sub 300.

BB: **I move the motion to pass option 2 as our subsidy model**

Seconded: AT

Vote passes unanimously

**Agenda Item 10: Easters trials dates**

GC: lets make it week Monday 4 for debaters, Monday week 5 for adjudicators

GC: **I move a motion to pass these dates**

Seconded: Amelia

Vote passes unanimously

**Action Item: 21 days notive for trial date, date rego closes, selction procedure and subsidy amount (newsletter, fb, social media, posters) – Externals portfolio**

**Agenda Item 11: EGM**

GC: Im thinking a 2.5 hours on the 26th before the demo debate (3.00pm)

**Action item: Need to send out an official EGM notice (amelia)**

AC: first year rep?

AR: we can just chat to them, unnecessary

**Agenda Item 12: O Week**

AR: we have pamphlets , and posters

AC: if you get dates, put them in there before you print

**Action Item: go to the o-week briefing (annya and beth)**

**Action Item: we need locker information (annya and beth)**

**Action Item: we need a google form for registration (annya and beth)**

**Action Item 13: Portfolio Updates**

*Socials*

LH: I have thoughts, lets make a poll probably. All the dates are worked out around the debating and academic calendar

**Action Item: send the dates to rob to add to the debating calendar (trials dates, Amelia)**

*Schools*

AT: feb isn’t happening

*Sponsorship*

GC: its happening

LH: we can get t-shirts

AT: lets get t-shirts regardless

AC: you cant promise to have a rep to speak on campus, but we can speak on their behalf

*Comps*

BB: We can do a small-4 for womens if another 1 day doesn’t come up after Mac Pro-Am

**Agenda Item 14: Cross society debates**

BB: Run a mini tournament

AT: no

Meeting Concludes: 8:31